Memorandum



DATE March 17, 2017

Members of the Budget, Finance, & Audit Committee: Jennifer S. Gates (Chair), Philip T. Kingston (Vice Chair), Erik Wilson, Rickey D. Callahan, Scott Griggs, Lee M. Kleinman

SUBJECT

Utility Franchising

On Monday, March 20, 2017, the Office of Financial Services will brief the Budget, Finance, & Audit Committee on Utility Franchising. I have attached the briefing for your review.

Please let me know if you need additional information.

M. Elizabeth Reich Chief Financial Officer

M. Elyabeth Reich

Attachment

Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council
T.C. Broadnax, City Manager
Larry E. Casto, City Attorney
Craig D. Kinton, City Auditor
Rosa A. Rios, City Secretary
Daniel F. Solis, Administrative Judge
Kimberly Bizor Tolbert, Chief of Staff to the City Manager
Majed A. Al-Ghafry, Assistant City Manager
Theresa O'Donnell, Interim Chief of Economic Development &
Neighborhood Services

Mark McDaniel, Assistant City Manager Eric D. Campbell, Assistant City Manager Jill A. Jordan, P.E., Assistant City Manager Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager Alan E. Sims, Interim Chief of Community Services Directors and Assistant Directors

Utility Franchising

Budget, Finance, and Audit Committee March 20, 2017

Nick Fehrenbach
Manager of Regulatory Affairs
Office of Financial Services
City of Dallas



Presentation Overview

- Purpose
- History of franchises
- City Charter requirements
- Natural gas distribution
- Electric distribution
- Telecom
- Cable TV





Purpose

- Provide overview of current municipal franchises
 - Briefing is informational only
 - No action is required



History of Franchising

- Utility franchise agreements grant non-exclusive authority to utility to use public property in exchange for monetary and other considerations
- Utilities require use of publicly owned Rightsof-Ways (ROW) to install facilities and provide service
 - ROW includes streets, alleys, highways, easements, and other public ways

4



History of Franchising – continued

- Under State constitution, City must receive fair compensation for allowing use of public property
 - ROW is unique property and fair value is hard to determine
 - Historically, percentage of gross receipts was considered fair and reasonable compensation for use of ROW





History of Franchising – continued

- Franchise is both contract and regulatory document
 - Franchise is contract for lease of public property
 - Franchise also spells out certain regulatory responsibilities of City
 - Franchise prescribes regulatory obligations of utility
- Utility must accept franchise ordinance



History of Franchising – continued

- Historically, City has franchised all utilities and cable TV providers
 - Natural gas
 - Electric
 - Telephone
 - Cable TV



City Charter Chapter XIV

- City may grant franchise or right to use public property for purpose of furnishing to public any general public service or benefit
 - No exclusive franchise may be granted
 - No term longer than 40 years
 - Minimum franchise fee of 4% of gross receipts
 - Non-discriminatory ubiquitous service



Natural Gas Distribution

- Original franchise granted to The Dallas Gas Company in 1905
- Current franchise, ordinance #27793 granted to Atmos Energy Corporation on Jan 13, 2010
 - Initial term of 15 years may be automatically renewed for additional 15 year term
 - Franchise fee of 5% of gross revenue, FY 2015-16 actual revenue \$10.6m



City of Dallas

5

Natural Gas Distribution – continued

- Atmos must relocate facilities to accommodate City public improvements
- City has original jurisdiction over <u>distribution</u> rates
- Railroad Commission of Texas has appellate jurisdiction over distribution rates and original jurisdiction over pipeline rates





Natural Gas Distribution – continued

- Atmos filed Dallas Annual Rate Review (DARR) case on Jan 13
 - Staff and consultant currently reviewing case
 - Will bring findings to BFA on May 15
 - Council action scheduled for May 24 (Council action required by May 28)
- Atmos Pipeline filed rate case Jan 6 with Railroad Commission of Texas
 - Hearing on merits begins April 19
 - Statutory deadline July 10



11

Electric Distribution

- Original franchise granted to Dallas Electric Lighting Company in 1882
- Current franchise, ordinance #27485 granted to Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC on Feb 11, 2009
 - Initial term of 15 years automatic 6 month extensions until terminated not to exceed total of 40 years
 - Franchise fee of \$0.002753/kWh, FY 2015-16 actual revenue \$50.9m



12

- Oncor must relocate facilities to accommodate City public improvements
- City has original jurisdiction over local distribution rates
- Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUCT) has appellate jurisdiction
- In practice, all City rate orders are appealed to the PUCT by Oncor to maintain system wide rates



- Oncor filed LED street light tariff with City on Dec 16
 - Jan 11 resolution #17-0130 suspended effective date to allow staff time to review filing and make recommendations
 - Mobility and Street Services will brief the Transportation Committee on findings and recommendations on April 10
 - Voting item will be placed on April 12 Council agenda
 - Deadline for final action is April 22



- Oncor rate case expected to be filed March 17 with all cities in Oncor service area and PUCT
 - Rates will become effective 35 days after filing unless City takes action
 - City may suspend effective date for an additional
 90 days to allow time to review
 - Voting item to suspend rates will be placed on April 12 Council agenda



- Appeal of prior Oncor case (2009) has been remanded to PUC from Texas Supreme Court
 - City prevailed on franchise fee issue and lost the consolidated tax savings issue
 - May impact cost recovery in current case
 - Staff will continue to participate in this case as needed
- Additionally, staff is monitoring PUCT proceedings related to acquisition of Oncor by NextEra

City of Dallas

Telecom

- House Bill 1777 adopted in 1999 eliminated municipal franchising of telephone companies
- HB 1777 based initial rates on historic franchise fee payments
- Current compensation to cities for use of ROW is a per access line basis
 - PUCT adjusts annually by 50% of CPI
 - FY 2015-16 actual revenue \$27.5m



Telecom – continued

- No requirement for ubiquitous service
- Rates are not regulated
- Telecom providers are required under State law to relocate for street widening or straightening projects



Telecom – continued

- Staff monitors federal legislation related to telecom and wireless providers use of ROW
 - Wireline industry has been trying to eliminate local franchising and municipal fees for use of ROW
 - Wireless providers are attempting to eliminate municipal regulation of placement of small cells in ROW and payment for use of ROW



Telecom - continued

- Staff monitors State legislation related to wireless providers use of ROW including SB1004 (HB2838) and SB1515
 - Would authorize wireless providers use of ROW
 - Would greatly restrict city's ability to regulate placement of wireless facilities
 - Limit compensation for use of ROW



Cable TV

- State of Texas became franchise authority for Cable TV based on Senate Bill 5 adopted in 2005
- Compensation to cities for use of ROW is 5% of gross receipts, FY 2015-16 actual revenue \$13.2m

City of Dallas

Cable TV – continued

- Ubiquitous service not required
- Public Utility Commission prohibited from taking consumer complaints
- Per federal regulations Cable TV rates are not regulated



Utility Franchising

Budget, Finance, and Audit Committee March 20, 2017

Nick Fehrenbach
Manager of Regulatory Affairs
Office of Financial Services
City of Dallas

