Memorandum

DATE 11 April 2014

TO Transportation and Trinity River Project Committee Members: Lee Kleinman (Vice Chair), Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Monica Alonzo, Mayor Pro Tem Tennell Atkins, Sandy Greyson, and Sheffie Kadane

SUBJECT Transportation and Trinity River Project Committee Meeting Agenda

Monday, 14 April 2014, at 1:00 p.m. until 2:30 p.m.
Dallas City Hall - 6ES, 1500 Marilla Street, Dallas, TX 75201

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

1. Approval of the 24 February 2014 Minutes [Estimated 3 Minutes]
   Vonciel Jones Hill, Chair

2. Trinity Parkway - Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) [Estimated 70 Minutes]
   Dan Chapman, Vice President, HNTB

3. Streetcar Production – Final Phase [Estimated 10 Minutes]
   Keith Manoy, Assistant Director

4. Upcoming Potential Council Agenda Item(s) [Estimated 5 Minutes]

   • Authorize (1) acceptance of a donation of $12,615 from the Trinity Trust Foundation for the purpose of hiring an intern for the Southwest Airlines Conservation Corps program; and (2) the establishment of appropriations in the amount of $12,615 in the Trinity Trust Foundation Fund - SW Airline Conservation Corps - Financing: Revenue

   • Authorize (1) an increase in the construction contract with AUI Contractors, LLC for construction of the Levee Drainage System – Hampton-Oak Lawn sump, also referred to as the Baker No. 3 Pump Station; and (2) extend the contract by an additional ninety-days - Not to exceed $4,616,624, from $37,961,493 to $42,578,117 - Financing: General Obligation Commercial Paper Funds

   • DRAFT - Street Resurfacing and Street Improvements for 2014
      * Authorize a contract with NPL Construction Company, Inc., lowest responsible bidder of four, in the amount of $14,648,832 for the construction of pavement surface improvements for Street Resurfacing and Street Improvements for 2014 (list attached) - Not to exceed $14,648,832 - Financing: 2012 Bond Funds ($14,555,136) and Water Utilities Capital Construction Funds ($93,696)
      * Authorize a professional services contract with Kleinfelder Central, Inc., to provide construction material testing during the construction of the Street Resurfacing and Street Improvements for 2014 (list attached) - Not to exceed $187,139 - Financing: General Obligation Commercial Paper Funds

   • Authorize a one-year construction services contract to provide micro-surfacing and slurry seal for Street Services - Intermountain Slurry Seal, Inc., lowest responsible bidder of four - Not to exceed $4,118,575 - Financing: Current Funds (subject to appropriations)

"A quorum of the Dallas City Council may attend this Council Committee meeting."
Adjourn

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Voncie Jones Hill, Chair
Transportation and Trinity River Project Committee

c: The Honorable Mayor and Members of the Dallas City Council
A.C. Gonzalez, City Manager
Warren M. S. Ernst, City Attorney
Judge Daniel F. Solis, Administrative Judge
Rosa A. Rios, City Secretary
Craig D. Kinton, City Auditor
Ryan S. Evans, (I) First Assistant City Manager
Jill A. Jordan, P. E., Assistant City Manager

Forest E. Turner, Assistant City Manager
Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager
Charles M. Calo, (I) Assistant City Manager
Theresa O'Donnell, (I) Assistant City Manager
Jeanne Chipperfield, Chief Financial Officer
Shawn Williams, (I) Public Information Officer
Elsa Cantu, Assistant to the City Manager – Mayor and Council

A closed executive session may be held if the discussion of any of the above agenda items concerns one of the following:

1. Contemplated or pending litigation, or matters where legal advice is requested of the City Attorney. Section 551.071 of the Texas Open Meetings Act.

2. The purchase, exchange lease or value of real property, if the deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the City in negotiations with a third person. Section 551.072 of the Texas Open Meetings Act.

3. A contract for a prospective gift or donation to the City, if the deliberation is an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the City in negotiations with a third person. Section 551.073 of the Texas Open Meetings Act.

4. Personnel matters involving the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline or dismissal of a public officer or employee or to hear a complaint against an officer or employee. Section 551.074 of the Texas Open Meetings Act.

5. The deployment, or specific occasions for implementation of security personnel or devices. Section 551.076 of the Texas Open Meetings Act.


“Dallas-Together, we do it better”
Meeting Minutes

Meeting Date: 24 February 2014  Convened: 1:04 p.m.  Adjourned: 2:39 p.m.

Councilmembers: Presenter(s):
Vonciel Jones Hill, Chair Jill A. Jordan, P.E., Assistant City Manager
Lee Kleinman, Vice Chair Rick Galceran, P.E., Director, Public Works
Mayor Pro Tem Tennell Atkins Dennis Ware, (I) Director, Street Services
Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Monica Alonzo Liz Fernandez, Director, Trinity Watershed Mgmt.
Sandy Greyson
Sheffi Kadane

Councilmembers Absent: None Other Councilmembers Present: None

Staff Present: Staff Present:
Keith Manoy, Assistant Director, Public Works Sarah Standifer, Assistant Director, TWM
Tim Starr, (I) Assistant Director, Public Works Ben Cernosek, Assistant Director, Street Services

AGENDA:

1. Approval of the 10 February 2014 Meeting Minutes
Presenter(s): Vonciel Jones Hill, Chair

Action Taken/Committee Recommendation(s): Motion was made to approve the 10 February 2014 Transportation and Trinity River Project Council Committee meeting minutes.

Motion made by: Kadane  Motion seconded by: Atkins
Item passed unanimously: X  Item passed on a divided vote: 
Item failed unanimously:  Item failed on a divided vote: 

2. Status of Street Condition and Repair Work
Presenter(s): Rick Galceran, Director, Public Works and Dennis Ware, Interim Director, Street Services

Information Only: X
3. **Upcoming Council Agenda Item(s)**
**Presenter(s):** Jill A. Jordan, P.E., Assistant City Manager and Liz Fernandez, Director, Trinity Watershed Management

- Authorize a professional services contract with Huitt-Zollars, Inc. for engineering design services associated with the Trinity Parkway Borrow Area/Phase I Lakes Project - Not to exceed $737,000 - Financing: Stormwater Drainage Management Capital Construction Funds ($147,000) and 1998 Bond Funds ($590,000)

- Authorize (1) the acceptance of a private donation from the Trinity Trust Foundation in the amount of $105,000; (2) the establishment of appropriations in the amount of $105,000 in the Trinity Trust Phase I Lakes Amenities Fund; and (3) a professional services contract with Wallace Roberts & Todd, LLC for review of the proposed phasing plans for consistency with the original Balanced Vision Plan, visioning, renderings and basic cost estimates for donor packages - Not to exceed $105,000 – Financing: Private Funds

- Authorize acquisition, including the exercise of the right of eminent domain, if such becomes necessary, from the County of Dallas, of an unimproved tract of land containing approximately 9,517 square feet located on South Riverfront Boulevard near its intersection with Old Zang Road for the Able Pump Station Project - Not to exceed $5,707 ($3,807 plus closing costs and title expenses not to exceed $1,900) – Financing: 2006 Bond Funds

- Authorize acquisition, including the exercise of the right of eminent domain, if such becomes necessary, from Greyhound Lines, Inc., of two tracts of improved land containing a total of approximately 4,526 square feet located on Continental Avenue at its intersection with Dragon Street for the reconstruction of Continental Avenue – Not to exceed $181,782 ($177,282 plus closing costs and title expenses not to exceed $4,500) – Financing: General Obligation Commercial Paper Funds

- Authorize acquisition, including the exercise of the right of eminent domain, if such becomes necessary, from Prescott Interests, Ltd., of an unimproved tract of land containing approximately 15,352 square feet located on Beckley Avenue at its intersection with Interstate Highway 30 for the IH-30 Bike and Pedestrian Facility Improvements – Not to exceed $288,012 ($284,012 plus closing costs and title expenses not to exceed $4,000) – Financing: General Obligation Commercial Paper Fund

**Addendum**

- Authorize acquisition, including the exercise of the right of eminent domain, if such becomes necessary, from BNSF Railway Company, of a drainage easement containing approximately 3,000 square feet and a temporary working space easement containing approximately 61,812 square feet located near the intersection of Morrell Avenue and Sargent Road for the Trinity River Corridor Project – Upper Chain of Wetlands - Not to exceed $5,445 ($3,545 plus closing costs and title expenses not to exceed $1,900) – Financing: 1998 Bond Funds

- A resolution authorizing the conveyance of an easement and right-of-way containing approximately 20,881 square feet of land to Oncor Electric Delivery Company, LLC for the construction, use and maintenance of electric facilities across City-owned land located on Pemberton Hill Road near its intersection with Jeane Street – Financing: No cost consideration to the City
Action Taken/Committee Recommendation(s): Motion was made to move all items forward to full City Council for consideration.

Motion made by: Atkins  Motion seconded by: Kadane
Item passed unanimously: X  Item passed on a divided vote: 
Item failed unanimously:  Item failed on a divided vote: 

4. DART Board Appointment Update
Presenter(s): Vonciel Jones Hill, Chair

Action Taken/Committee Recommendation(s): The committee directed the City Secretary’s Office to proceed with a background check for the suggested nominee to fill Place 05 on the DART Board. Upon clearance; the name of the nominee should be placed on the 5 March 2014 City Council Agenda for voting. Motion was made to move forward accordingly to full City Council.

Motion made by: Atkins  Motion seconded by: Greyson
Item passed unanimously: X  Item passed on a divided vote: 
Item failed unanimously:  Item failed on a divided vote: 

5. Adjourn
Presenter(s): Vonciel Jones Hill, Chair

Action Taken/Committee Recommendation(s): Motion was made to adjourn the meeting.

Motion made by: Atkins  Motion seconded by: Kadane
Item passed unanimously: X  Item passed on a divided vote: 
Item failed unanimously:  Item failed on a divided vote: 

Adjourn
(2:39 p.m.)
Memorandum

DATE: 11 April 2014

TO The Honorable Members of the Transportation and Trinity River Project Committee: Vonciel Jones Hill (Chair), Lee Kleinman (Vice Chair), Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Monica Alonzo, Mayor Pro Tem Tennell Atkins, Sandy Greyson, Sheffie Kadane

SUBJECT Trinity Parkway Environmental Impact Statement

On Monday, 14 April 2014, you will be briefed on the Trinity Parkway Environmental Impact Statement. The briefing materials are attached for your review.

Please let me know if you have any questions or need additional information.

Jill A. Jordan, P.E.
Assistant City Manager

THE TRINITY

C: A.C. Gonzalez, City Manager
  Warren M.S. Ernst, City Attorney
  Craig D. Kinton, City Auditor
  Rosa A. Rios, City Secretary
  Daniel F. Solis, Administrative Judge
  Ryan S. Evans, (I) First Assistant City Manager
  Forest E. Turner, Assistant City Manager
  Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager
  Charles M. Cato, (I) Assistant City Manager
  Theresa O'Donnell, (I) Assistant City Manager
  Jeanne Chipperfield Chief Financial Officer
  Shawn Williams, (I) Public Information Officer
  Elsa Cantu, Assistant to the City Manager – Mayor & Council

"Dallas, The City That Works: Diverse, Vibrant and Progressive."
Trinity Parkway Briefing
presented to
City of Dallas Transportation & Trinity River Project Committee

Dan Chapman, P.E.
Trinity Parkway Corridor Manager

14 April 2014
Agenda

- Public Hearing Logistics and Notifications
- Review of Public Hearing Content
- Relationship between Dallas Floodway Project (Floodway) and Trinity Parkway Project (Parkway) Planning Processes
- Next Steps
Public Hearing Logistics

- Thursday, April 24, 2014
- Kay Bailey Hutchison Convention Center Arena
- Open house from 5:00 PM to 7:00 PM
- Presentation begins promptly at 7:00 PM
- 20 minute recess
- Public comments
- To be included in the record, all comments must be received or postmarked on or before Monday, May 5, 2014
Public Comment Process

- Elected officials or representatives from local governments will be allowed to provide a statement.
- Speakers will be called based on returned speaker forms.
- Following registered speakers, unregistered speakers will be allowed an opportunity to comment.
Public Hearing Notifications

- Publication of notices of availability and public hearing:
  - Federal Register, Friday 3/21/2014
  - Texas Register, Friday 3/21/2014
  - Al Dia, Saturdays 3/22, 3/29, 4/5 and 4/12/2014 (w/display ad)
  - Dallas Weekly, Thursday 3/27, 4/3, 4/10 and 4/17/2014 (w/display ad)
  - Dallas Morning News, Saturday 3/22, Sunday 3/30, Sunday 4/6 and Sunday 4/13/2014 (w/display ad)
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY

TRINITY PARKWAY FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

City of Dallas, Dallas County, Texas

The North Texas Tollway Authority (NTTA) in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) will conduct a formal Public Hearing on Thursday, April 30, 2014, at 7:00 p.m., at the Kay Bailey Hutchison Convention Center Arena, located at 650 S. Griffin Street, Dallas, 75202, to discuss the proposed Trinity Parkway Project in the City of Dallas, Dallas County, Texas. The Project is located along the intercounty highway (I-635/I-635N/I-635S) interchange to the Interstate Highway (I-45) interchange on the sude side of Dallas County. The Interchange will be improved as a result of the project. A public hearing will be held from 6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. prior to the Public Hearing at the same location. The purpose of the Public Hearing is to inform the public and solicit comments on the schematic for the proposed project alternatives and on the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS). While not traditionally provided after the FEIS stage of project development, due to public interest in the Project, the NTTA, TxDOT, and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) have agreed to provide this additional Public Hearing after the FEIS was made available to the public.

The purpose of the Public Hearing is to inform the public and solicit comments on the schematic for the proposed project alternatives and on the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS). While not traditionally provided after the FEIS stage of project development, due to public interest in the Project, the NTTA, TxDOT, and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) have agreed to provide this additional Public Hearing after the FEIS was made available to the public.

The FEIS was prepared by the NTTA, the TxDOT, and FDOT in cooperation with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the Trinity Parkway Project. The FEIS was adopted by the NTTA on August 28, 2013, by TxDOT on September 23, 2013, and by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) on September 26, 2013. The FEIS is available at the NTTA website at www.nottx.com and at the NTTA's office at 2900 Routh Street, Dallas, Texas 75201. The FEIS is also available on the TxDOT website at www.txdot.gov.

The NTTA is committed to providing alternatives to the Project that will improve transportation and economic opportunities in the area and provide a quality roadway. To provide alternatives that will be consistent with the FEIS, the NTTA will consider the alternatives already developed for the Trinity Parkway Project. The alternatives will be presented at the public hearing.

The NTTA will consider comments on the alternatives and the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS). Comments on the alternatives and the FEIS should be submitted in writing to the NTTA at 2900 Routh Street, Dallas, Texas 75001. A copy of the FEIS and the alternatives can be obtained at the hearing.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Thursday, April 30, 2014
TRINITY PARKWAY
FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

The North Texas Tollway Authority (NTTA) in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) will conduct a formal public hearing and open house for the proposed Trinity Parkway Project within the City of Dallas. The project will be discussed with the public and solicit comments on the schematics for the proposed project alternatives and on the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the proposed tolled facility. The limits of the proposed project extend from the Interstate Highway (I-35) to the U.S. Highway (SH 183) interchange to the United States (US) Highway (US 175/SH 310) interchange on the south for a distance of approximately nine miles. The FEIS presents new information relevant to the proposed Trinity Parkway and presents the recommended build alternative.

 OPEN HOUSE: 5 p.m. - 7 p.m.
 PUBLIC HEARING: 7 p.m.
 LOCATION: Kay Bailey Hutchison Convention Center Arena, 650 S. Griffin Street, Dallas, 75202
 Free parking is available in the Convention Center parking garage

A copy of the FEIS document may be obtained on the NTTA website via the Internet at www.ntta.org. Select ‘Roads & Projects’ on the NTTA homepage, and then click on ‘Trinity Parkway’ under the ‘Future Projects’ category. Finally, click on ‘Project Meeting Materials’ (https://www.ntta.org/roads/projects/trinityparkway/Pres/Project-Meeting-Materials.aspx). Also, please visit the NTTA website for a complete list of locations where the documents can be viewed.

Persons interested in attending the Public Hearing who have special communication or accommodation needs should contact NTTA at 214-244-3062 or email at attnparkway@ntta.org. To request

Persons interested in attending the Public Hearing who have special communication or accommodation needs are encouraged to contact the NTTA at 214-244-3062 or email at attnparkway@ntta.org at least three (3) working days prior to the Public Hearing. Because the Public Hearing will be conducted in English, any request for language interpretation or other special communication needs should also be made at least three (3) working days prior to the hearing. The NTTA will make reasonable efforts to accommodate these needs.

All interested parties are invited to attend this Public Hearing and Open House and to make comments relative to the Project and the FEIS may be presented at this hearing or written comments may be submitted to NTTA, Attn: General Manager, Trinity Parkway Project, P.O. Box 262726, Dallas, TX 75260. Comments will also be accepted by email at attnparkway@ntta.org. All comments must be received or postmarked on or before Monday, May 5, 2014, to be included in the Public Hearing record. Submission of comments by email is encouraged. All comments received will be considered in the development of the Project.

Contours provided by this map show approximate contours of the land as estimated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). Contours are subject to change as a result of the project.

Persons interested in attending the Public Hearing who have special communication or accommodation needs should contact NTTA at 214-244-3062 or email at attnparkway@ntta.org at least three (3) working days prior to the Public Hearing.

Persons interested in attending the Public Hearing who have special communication or accommodation needs are encouraged to contact the NTTA at 214-244-3062 or email at attnparkway@ntta.org at least three (3) working days prior to the Public Hearing. Because the Public Hearing will be conducted in English, any request for language interpretation or other special communication needs should also be made at least three (3) working days prior to the hearing. The NTTA will make reasonable efforts to accommodate these needs.

All interested parties are invited to attend this Public Hearing and Open House and to make comments relative to the Project and the FEIS may be presented at this hearing or written comments may be submitted to NTTA, Attn: General Manager, Trinity Parkway Project, P.O. Box 262726, Dallas, TX 75260. Comments will also be accepted by email at attnparkway@ntta.org. All comments must be received or postmarked on or before Monday, May 5, 2014, to be included in the Public Hearing record. Submission of comments by email is encouraged. All comments received will be considered in the development of the Project.

Contours provided by this map show approximate contours of the land as estimated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). Contours are subject to change as a result of the project.

Persons interested in attending the Public Hearing who have special communication or accommodation needs should contact NTTA at 214-244-3062 or email at attnparkway@ntta.org at least three (3) working days prior to the Public Hearing.
Public Hearing Notifications

- Approximately 200 letters and notices mailed March 18th to local, state and federal officials
- More than 1,900 notices mailed March 19th to abutting property owners, commenters on previous documents, and community stakeholders
- NTTA customer e-newsletter on March 31st to 728,000 subscribers (w/link to formal notice)
- Information posted on USACE and TxDOT websites
- NTTA press release two weeks in advance of public hearing
TRINITY PARKWAY
City of Dallas, Dallas County, Texas

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
Date: April 24, 2014. Open House from 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. Public Hearing at 7:00 p.m.
Location: Kay Bailey Hutchison Convention Center Arena, 850 S. Griffin Street, Dallas, 75202

NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY
FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
The FEIS presents new information relative to the proposed Trinity Parkway, and presents the recommended build alternative. More information is available at www.ntta.org

AVISO DE UNA AUDIENCIA PUBLICA
Fecha: 24 de abril de 2014. Exposicion de 5:00 p.m. a 7:00 p.m. y Audiencia Publica a las 7:00 p.m.
Ubicacion: Kay Bailey Hutchison Convention Center Arena, 850 S. Griffin Street, Dallas, 75202.

NOTIFICACION DE DISPONIBILIDAD
DECLARACION DE IMPACTO AMBIENTAL FINAL
La FEIS presenta nueva informacion relacionada al proyecto Trinity Parkway, y presenta la alternativa constructiva recomendada.
Para mas informacion www.ntta.org
Public Hearing Content

- Study Area
- Purpose of Public Hearing
- Status of the Project
- Project Design
- Environmental Impacts
- Right-of-Way Acquisition and Relocation
Purpose of Public Hearing

- Inform the public of the status of the planning efforts and present evaluations based on studies performed to date
- Describe the proposed project and the alternatives under consideration so the public can determine how it may be effected
- Provide the public another opportunity for input before location and design decisions are finalized
- Develop a record of public views and participation
Status of the Project
Trinity Parkway
National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Process

- Type of document: Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
- 1999 Notice of Intent and Project Scoping
- 2005 Draft EIS (DEIS) evaluated the social, economic, and environmental effects of the Trinity Parkway alternatives
- 2009 Supplemental Draft EIS (SDEIS) developed in cooperation with the USACE to address concerns about proposed floodway alternatives
Trinity Parkway NEPA Process (Cont’d)

- 2012 Limited Scope Supplemental (LSS) to the Supplemental Draft EIS to evaluate compatibility with levee remediation and practicability of Trinity Parkway alternatives pursuant to Executive Orders regarding floodplains and wetlands.

- 2014 Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) presents the alternatives:
  - Design developed to higher level of detail
  - Impacts analysis to facilitate environmental compliance and mitigation plans
Agency Coordination & Public Outreach

- Initial public meeting to determine scope of project (1999)
- Interagency coordination meetings
- Extensive consultation with the USACE
- Public meetings & presentations to local organizations, business associations, neighborhood groups, and elected officials
- Media outreach, internet website, project newsletters, and corridor progress reports
What Happens after the Public Hearing?

- Public comment period (ends May 5, 2014)
- Preparation of Public Hearing Summary & Analysis Report
- Record of Decision by Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) (selects an alternative)
Project Design
Trinity Parkway - Need and Purpose
TxDOT 1998 Trinity Parkway MTIS*
Plan of Action for the CBD

- Canyon/Mixmaster Improvements (Horseshoe Project)
- New Reliever Route (Trinity Parkway)
- Employee Trip Reduction
- Bicycle/Ped Facilities
- Freeway Management
- DART Line to Carrollton (Green Line)
- Woodall Rodgers to Beckley Connection (MHH Bridge)

* Major Transportation Investment Study
Project Purpose

- Improve mobility, manage congestion, increase safety, and accommodate future travel demands

- Additional Goals
  - Minimize the physical, biological, and socio-economic effects on the environment
  - Provide compatibility with local development plan
Project Alternatives

No Build Under Consideration

2 Riverfront (Industrial) Alternatives - 2A & 2B

2 Riverside Alternatives - 3C & 4B
Build Alternatives

- North Texas Tollway Authority
- Build Alternatives
- West Dallas
- Methodist Hospital
- Oak Cliff
- Cadillac Heights
- South Dallas
- The Cedars
- The Bottoms
- Design District
- Downtown Dallas
- Parkland Hospital
- Stemmons Industrial District
- La Bajada
- West Dallas
- The Cedars
- Methodist Hospital
- Oak Cliff
- Cadillac Heights
- South Dallas
Build Alternatives
Build Alternatives

Comments Applicable to all Build Alternatives:

- All would be designated as controlled-access toll roads
- All would have grade separations at crossings of existing highways and streets
- All would have electronic toll collection
- All would have a posted speed limit of 55 mph
- All would ultimately be configured as six-lane facilities throughout... sized for year 2035 traffic
Alternative 2A

2A – Irving/Riverfront (Industrial) Elevated
Alternative 4B

Trinity River

4B – Split Pkwy. Riverside Modified
No Build Alternative
The USACE would have authority under 33 USC 408 to ensure the proposed project would not be injurious to the public interest and would not impact the flood control benefits provided by the Dallas Floodway. If a Build Alternative within the Dallas Floodway is selected, continued USACE review and approval in accordance with Section 408 would be required prior to construction.
Evaluation of the Build Alternatives

- Method of analysis prescribed by Executive Orders (EO’s)
  - EO 11988 – Management of Floodplains
  - EO 11990 – Protection of Wetlands

- EO’s limit selection to: (1) the “practicable” alternative that (2) avoids or minimizes harm to floodplains/wetlands; or the No-Build Alternative

- Practicable = “capable of being done” after considering
  - Cost, technology, and logistics; and
  - Reasonable natural, social, or economic constraints
Practicability Analysis

- Build Alternatives examined independently
- Factual basis - examine design/impacts in light of 16 factors:
  - Section 404/EO Shared Factors
    1) Project costs
    2) Existing technology
    3) Logistics
  - Natural Environment Factors
    4) Natural and beneficial values served by floodplains
    5) Waters of the U.S., including wetlands, and water quality
    6) Fish and wildlife habitat values
    7) Conservation
Practicability Analysis (Cont’d)

- Socioeconomic Factors
  8) Needs and welfare of the community
  9) Economic Impacts
  10) Air quality impacts
  11) Traffic noise impacts
  12) Impact of floods on human safety
  13) Risks of implementing the action
  14) Incompatible development
  15) Aesthetics
  16) Historic values
Conclusion

- Alternative 3C is recommended build alternative for further refinements and updated environmental impact analysis:
  - Developed to a higher level of design detail in the FEIS
  - Impacts analysis updated to reflect design refinements and facilitate mitigation and environmental compliance

- No-Build Alternative remains under consideration by FHWA
Alternative 3C Design Overview
Alternative 3C Typical Sections
Alternative 3C
Alternative 3C approaching the Continental Bridge

Dallas Floodway east levee
Alternative 3C at Margaret Hunt Hill Bridge

Flood separation wall
Alternative 3C Design Refinements

- Northern Terminus Transition Area
- Southern Terminus Transition Area
- Connection to IH-35E (South R.L. Thornton) via the proposed Jefferson Memorial Bridge
- Ramp modifications at the Corinth Street Viaduct
Design Refinements
Northern Terminus

FEIS incorporates transition area previously cleared under Project Pegasus.
Ramps were removed from Trinity Parkway and added to SM Wright.
Design Refinements
Houston-Jefferson

Future Jefferson-Memorial Bridge

Original Concept

Refined Concept
Design Refinements
Corinth-Riverfront

Original Concept

Refined Concept
Environmental Impacts
Environmental Consequences

- Need and Purpose
- Alternatives
- Project Design
- Right-of-Way and Utilities
- Project Cost and Funding
- Displacements and Relocations
- Waters of the US, including Wetlands
- Lakes, Rivers, and Streams
- Water Quality
- Floodplains
- Regional and Community Growth
- Socio-Economic Impacts
- Community Cohesion and Environmental Justice
- Public Facilities and Services
Environmental Consequences (Cont’d)

- Parkland
- Threatened/Endangered Species and Wildlife Habitat
- Historic and Archeological Sites
- Aesthetic Considerations
- Topography and Soils
- Prime Farmland Soil Impacts
- Land Use
- Air Quality Assessment
- Mobile Source Air Toxics
- Congestion Management
- Traffic Noise Assessment
- Hazardous Materials
- Construction Impacts
- Indirect and Cumulative Impacts
Right-of-Way Acquisition and Relocation
Relationship between Floodway and Parkway Planning Processes

- **Coordination Checkpoint 1**
  - USACE completion of Floodway comprehensive analysis prior to start of 1st Trinity Parkway FEIS agency review

- **Coordination Checkpoint 2**
  - FHWA publication of Trinity Parkway notices of availability and public hearing prior to USACE Floodway draft environmental impact statement (EIS) public review and hearing

- **Coordination Checkpoint 3**
  - Trinity Parkway initial Section 408 approval prior to USACE Record of Decision on Floodway EIS
Next Steps

- Conduct FEIS public hearing April 24, 2014
- Prepare summary and analysis report programed
- Prepare initial section 408 submittal package
- USACE and safety assurance review (SAR) of initial section 408 submittal package
- FHWA record of decision (ROD)
- USACE headquarters review and approval of initial Section 408 submittal package
- Prepare Project management plan
● provide a safe and reliable toll road system
● increase value and mobility options for our customers
● operate the Authority in a businesslike manner
● protect our bondholders
● partner to meet our region’s growing need for transportation infrastructure.
Memorandum

DATE: 11 April 2014

TO: The Honorable Members of the Transportation and Trinity River Project Committee: Vonciel Jones Hill (Chair), Lee Kleinman (Vice Chair), Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Monica Alonzo, Mayor Pro Tem Tennell Atkins, Sandy Greyson, Sheffie Kadane

SUBJECT: Trinity Parkway Final Environmental Impact Statement Summary Chapter

On Monday, April 14th, you will be briefed on the Trinity Parkway Environmental Impact Statement by North Texas Tollway Authority. To assist with your review of this briefing, I have attached the summary chapter from the actual Final Environmental Impact Statement. You may view the entire document through the link listed below:

https://www.ntta.org/roadsprojects/futproj/triwy/Pages/Project-Meeting-Materials.aspx

Please let me know if you have any questions or need additional information.

Jill A. Jordan, P.E.
Assistant City Manager

THE TRINITY
DALLAS

cc: A.C. Gonzalez, City Manager
Warren M.S. Ernst, City Attorney
Craig D. Kinton, City Auditor
Rosa A. Rios, City Secretary
Daniel F. Solis, Administrative Judge
Ryan S. Evans, (l) First Assistant City Manager
Forest E. Turner, Assistant City Manager
Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager
Charles M. Calo, (l) Assistant City Manager
Theresa O'Donnell, (l) Assistant City Manager
Jeanne Chipperfield, Chief Financial Officer
Shawn Williams, (l) Public Information Officer
Elsa Cantu, Assistant to the City Manager – Mayor & Council

"Dallas, The City That Works: Diverse, Vibrant and Progressive."
SUMMARY

S-1 INTRODUCTION

The Trinity Parkway is a proposed new toll road located in the City of Dallas, Dallas County, Texas (TxDOT Control-Section-Job (CSJ) Number 0918-45-121). The Trinity Parkway would provide a reliever route generally to the west of downtown Dallas, connecting from the IH-35E/SH-183 interchange (northern terminus) to the US-175/SH-310 interchange (southern terminus), a distance of approximately 9 miles. The FHWA (lead agency), NTTA, TxDOT, and the City of Dallas are project sponsors. The USEPA and the USACE are cooperating agencies for the project.

The EIS for the Trinity Parkway is being prepared pursuant to NEPA and in compliance with the NEPA regulations issued by the CEQ and the FHWA. The NEPA regulations are a mandate for federal agencies to examine the potential environmental consequences of their proposals, consult with other agencies, document the analysis, and make the information available to the public prior to making a decision. An EIS presents detailed socioeconomic, environmental, and engineering information about a project so that the general public and federal, state, and local agencies can appropriately review and comment on it.

Completion of the environmental review and impact documentation process of this FEIS, followed by an anticipated ROD by the FHWA, would permit the proposed action to proceed to the final design phase unless the No-Build Alternative is selected.

S-2 THE RELATIONSHIP OF THE TRINITY PARKWAY FEIS TO PREVIOUS DOCUMENTS

On January 28, 2005, the FHWA approved the Trinity Parkway DEIS for public release, and the DEIS was subsequently released for public review in February 2005. On March 29, 2005, a public hearing for the Trinity Parkway DEIS was held at the Dallas Convention Center Arena. On April 8, 2005, the public comment period for the DEIS concluded.

On November 17, 2005, the FHWA, in consultation with the USACE, agreed to publish a SDEIS for the Trinity Parkway (see FEIS Section 1.6.2). On February 19, 2009, the FHWA approved the Trinity Parkway SDEIS for public release. On May 5, 2009, a public hearing for the Trinity Parkway SDEIS was held at the Dallas Convention Center Arena. The extended comment period began on March 20 and continued through June 30, 2009.
In 2008, subsequent to the publication of the SDEIS, the southbound IH-45 to southbound US-175 direct connect (DC) ramp and the northbound US-175 to northbound IH-45 DC ramp, which were originally proposed to be constructed as part of the Trinity Parkway, were instead incorporated into the Phase I portion of the SM Wright Project being advanced separately by TxDOT. The SM Wright Project is an independent project with its own logical termini, but dovetails the proposed Trinity Parkway project near its southern project terminus (US-175/SH-310). On December 18, 2012, the FHWA approved the SM Wright Project Environmental Assessment (EA) for public release (CSJs: 0092-01-052, 0197-02-108 and 0092-14-081). Public hearings for the SM Wright Project were held on January 31, 2013 and June 27, 2013. The SM Wright Project EA was prepared during the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) transition period between Mobility 2035 and Mobility 2035: The Metropolitan Transportation Plan for North Central Texas, 2013 Update (hereinafter 'Mobility 2035 – 2013 Update'); therefore, a consistency report was prepared that determined that the SM Wright Project EA was consistent with the Mobility 2035 – 2013 Update. On September 13, 2013, the FHWA determined that the SM Wright Project had completed all requirements under NEPA, and the project is proceeding with final project design. The removal of SM Wright Project ramps from the Trinity Parkway and the associated changes in impacts to the environment are reflected in the discussion and analysis presented in this FEIS.

On April 1, 2009, the USACE released the Periodic Inspection Report, Dallas Floodway, Trinity River, Dallas, Dallas County, Texas (Report No. 9) which cited deficiencies in the Dallas Floodway levee system, including segments adjacent to Trinity Parkway Build Alternatives. Because the SDEIS was released prior to the USACE inspection report, it did not include a discussion of the reported deficiencies and any impacts that these may have on the Trinity Parkway Build Alternatives. However, the inspection report was acknowledged during the May 5, 2009, public hearing on the SDEIS. Subsequently, the FHWA, TxDOT, and the NTTA stated their intent to further evaluate the levee deficiencies and a future levee remediation plan being developed by the City of Dallas and the USACE as it relates to the Trinity Parkway. This further analysis of levee deficiencies and remediation, along with an enhanced evaluation on the practicability of the Trinity Parkway alternatives in accordance with EO 11988 (Floodplain Management) and EO 11990 (Protection of Wetlands) and an update on activities performed in compliance with Section 106 of the NHPA, were completed as part of a LSS to the SDEIS.

On March 7, 2012, the FHWA approved the Trinity Parkway LSS to the SDEIS for public release. On May 8, 2012, a public hearing for the Trinity Parkway LSS was held at the Dallas Convention Center Arena. The public comment period for the LSS concluded on May 18, 2012.
In March 2013, project partners agreed on the expansion of the Trinity Parkway project area to the north by approximately 0.5 mile along IH-35E (Lower Stemmons Freeway) and SH-183. The expansion of the project area was needed to accommodate the deferral of the IH-35E at SH-183 portion of Project Pegasus from the current financially-constrained MTP, *Mobility 2035 – 2013 Update* (NCTCOG, 2013). Environmental approval for Project Pegasus was obtained in July 2005 but the deferral of the project from the MTP due to lack of funding will likely result in its completion after the Trinity Parkway, assuming that it is reactivated in some form. Accordingly, modifications to project design were necessary to ensure the functional transition of the Trinity Parkway onto IH-35E and SH-183. These design modifications and the associated changes in impacts to the environment are reflected in the discussion and analysis presented in this FEIS.

S-3  PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The NTTA proposes to design, construct, operate, and maintain a limited-access toll facility in the City of Dallas extending from the IH-35E/SH-183 interchange (northern terminus) to the US-175/SH-310 interchange (southern terminus), a distance of approximately 9 miles. The proposed project, known as the Trinity Parkway, would provide a needed reliever route for Lower Stemmons, Mixmaster, and the Canyon and would be generally located west of the existing freeway loop that encircles downtown Dallas (see Figure S-1).

The project area includes the Dallas Floodway, a federal flood conveyance and levee system carrying the main stem drainage flows of the Trinity River. As previously mentioned, the northern project area boundary along IH-35E (Lower Stemmons Freeway) and SH-183 was extended approximately 0.5 mile north from that originally presented in the DEIS, SDEIS, and LSS documents. The expansion of the project area was necessary to accommodate the transition of the Trinity Parkway onto IH-35E (Lower Stemmons Freeway) and SH-183. Additional discussion related to this project area expansion is presented in FEIS Sections 1.1.2 and 2.9.1.1.
The proposed facility would ultimately consist of six mixed-flow tolled mainlanes, local street interchanges, and tollway-to-freeway interchanges at the northern terminus, southern terminus, Woodall Rodgers Freeway, and IH-45 (see FEIS Chapter 2). Additional interchange connections are included, but vary between each of the Build Alternatives under consideration. Funding for the proposed project is anticipated to be provided by local, state, and federal sources, and through the collection of tolls. The design features for the four Build Alternatives considered are discussed in FEIS Chapter 2.

As presented in this FEIS, the logical termini for the purpose of evaluating alternatives and impacts of the proposed improvements are the junctions at IH-35E/SH-183 and US-175/SH 310. The proposed action has independent utility and would not preclude other foreseeable transportation improvements.
Various municipalities and agencies such as the NCTCOG, TxDOT, Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART), Dallas County, and the City of Dallas have demonstrated long-term support for the project. The proposed project is included as part of a regional freeway/tollway plan in Mobility 2035 — 2013 Update (NCTCOG, 2013), which is the regional MTP covering all modes of transportation and transportation system improvements. The inclusion of the Trinity Parkway in Mobility 2035 — 2013 Update indicates regional governmental support. Additional discussion relating to the historical background of the MTP and its relationship with the proposed project is included within FEIS Section 1.6.1.1.

S-4 NEED AND PURPOSE FOR ACTION

FEIS Chapter 1 describes the need and purpose for the proposed action. The transportation needs in the Trinity Parkway project area are summarized below:

- There is insufficient transportation capacity (e.g., freeway lanes, city streets, transit) in the Lower Stemmons/Canyon/Mixmaster area near downtown Dallas to carry trips flowing north-south (generally along IH-35E) and east-west (generally along IH-30). This is most evident in the morning and evening rush hours on weekdays, with the heaviest traffic flowing northbound and westbound in the morning hours, and southbound and eastbound in the evening hours.

- The traffic problems in the Canyon and Mixmaster are intensified by the layout of mainlanes, service roads, ramps, and surface streets in the area which fail to properly provide for the routes and destinations of the traveling public. Secondary problems include forced lane changes; abrupt and unexpected merges, weaves, and exits; missing connections for direct freeway-to-freeway movements; high accident rates; and poor access for emergency response vehicles.

The existing transportation problems in the corridor are the result of various urban influences, including high population growth, increased suburbanization, changing employment patterns, trade-related transportation, lack of alternative routes, and high use of single-occupant vehicles. These influences, discussed further in FEIS Section 1.3.2, result in many effects, including slow travel speeds, extended hours of congestion, accidents, reduced air quality due to congestion, and poor attraction of businesses to adjacent areas. Population and economic growth projections for the region indicate that corridor congestion problems would continue to worsen unless action is taken.
Congestion in the Trinity Parkway Corridor also slows travel for many miles along freeways feeding into the Dallas Central Business District (CBD) center, such as IH-35E (Lower Stemmons and South R.L. Thornton Freeways), IH-30 (Tom Landry Freeway and East R.L. Thornton Freeway), SH-183 (Airport Freeway), SH-114, and IH-45. In fact, segments of IH-35E (Lower Stemmons portion - from SH-183 to the Jefferson Street Viaduct), IH-30 (through the Canyon area), and IH-35E (from US-67 to the Jefferson Street Viaduct) all leading into the CBD were ranked in the top 25 of TxDOT’s top 100 congested roadway segments in the State of Texas for 2013 (see additional discussion in FEIS Section 1.3.4.3). Proposals for improving outlying segments of these freeways would not be entirely effective until traffic capacity is increased in and around the downtown area.

The primary purpose for the Trinity Parkway is to manage congestion on existing highways through the downtown Dallas area by creating a tollway that would effectively bypass the CBD. The proposed Trinity Parkway reliever route would help manage congestion on IH-35E (Lower Stemmons and South R.L. Thornton Freeways), IH-30, and other major transportation facilities within the Trinity Parkway project area to improve mobility and safety, and thereby increase accessibility to businesses and public facilities. The proposed Trinity Parkway thus addresses localized congestion in and near the Dallas CBD, and thereby alleviates a major traffic bottleneck that affects mobility throughout the DFW region.

S-5 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

FEIS Chapter 2 describes the alternatives analysis process and the Build Alternatives carried forward in the FEIS for further evaluation. Planning for the Trinity Parkway was developed from TxDOT’s Trinity Parkway Corridor Major Transportation Investment Study (MTIS) published in March 1998 (TxDOT, 1998a). The Trinity Parkway Corridor MTIS focused on transportation needs in the vicinity of the Dallas CBD, and developed a seven-point plan of action as follows:

1. Enhanced work trip reduction measures;
2. Bicycle and pedestrian facilities;
3. Enhanced transportation facility management;
4. Improvements to the Canyon, Mixmaster, and Lower Stemmons Freeway corridors;
5. Extension of Woodall Rodgers Freeway westward across the Dallas Floodway to connect to Singleton Boulevard and Beckley Avenue;
6. A continuous high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) system through the Canyon, Mixmaster, and Lower Stemmons corridors; and
7. A Trinity Parkway reliever route (proposed action).
Building on the MTIS and the NEPA scoping process, the DEIS used the same corridors as the MTIS. The DEIS analyzed six Build Alternatives (Alternatives 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 4A, and 5) as well as the No-Build Alternative. The SDEIS republished the DEIS along with evaluating two additional Build Alternatives (Alternatives 3C and 4B) based on agency consultation after the February 2005 publication of the DEIS. Given the above, a total of eight Build Alternatives and the No-Build Alternative were evaluated as part of the SDEIS.

Throughout the EIS process, the iterative process of proposing alternatives and receiving feedback from the USACE, other agencies, and the public has shaped the list of candidate alternatives. In October 2006, the USACE Fort Worth District provided comments on a draft version of a SDEIS provided to the District in July 2006. In the comments, the USACE raised several logistic concerns about the Trinity Parkway, specifically focusing on the Build Alternatives located in the Dallas Floodway. These alternatives, as proposed, appeared to adversely impact operations and maintenance requirements within the Dallas Floodway. The USACE logistic concerns are summarized as follows:

- The project must not interfere with the ability of the USACE or City of Dallas to operate and maintain the Dallas Floodway, conduct flood fighting activities, or restore or improve the flood damage reduction capability of the federal project.
- No cuts, flood separation walls, or retaining walls will be allowed that impact the existing or planned expansion of the Dallas Floodway or Dallas Floodway Extension levees.

The February 2009 SDEIS noted that the USACE considered Alternatives 3A, 3B, and 4A unapprovable due to these logistic issues, and these four alternatives were eliminated from further analysis and consideration. Similarly, the feasibility of realigning or modifying Alternative 5 to address the USACE concerns was evaluated during the development of the LSS. The evaluation involved shifting the main lanes away from the levees and a limited analysis of potential impacts to provide the FHWA with quantitative data to support a decision regarding the viability of a modified version of Alternative 5. The analysis found that a shift away from the levees would result in a substantial increase in residential displacements in minority and low-income neighborhoods and substantially greater costs associated with right-of-way (ROW) acquisition and relocation assistance. Consequently, the FHWA determined that Alternative 5 could not be practically modified to avoid adverse impacts to the levees as identified by the USACE.

As a result of the extensive history behind the development of Trinity Parkway design options, four Build Alternatives presented in the SDEIS and further evaluated in the LSS (Alternatives 2A,
2B, 3C, and 4B) were identified as reasonable for meeting the need and purpose of the Trinity Parkway.

FEIS Chapter 2 also discusses the legislative and regulatory obligations of the FHWA to conduct an analysis as to whether each of the Trinity Parkway Build Alternatives is “practicable.” All four Build Alternatives are expected to have effects on waters of the U.S., including wetlands, and therefore would involve consideration of EO 11990 (Protection of Wetlands). In addition, EO 11988 (Floodplain Management) applies because the alternatives are located either partially (Alternatives 2A and 2B) or primarily (Alternatives 3C and 4B) within the Dallas Floodway. Regulations implementing these EOs require federal agencies, prior to selecting an alternative that would be located wholly or partially within wetlands or floodplains, to first demonstrate that there is no “practicable alternative” to placing any portion of the project within wetlands or floodplains. The analysis in FEIS Chapter 2 of the practicability of the Build Alternatives, using the comprehensive criteria established by federal regulations implementing the above mentioned EOs, essentially includes the consideration of cost, existing technology, and logistics, in addition to several types of natural and social constraints. The analysis of practicability under EO 11990 (Protection of Wetlands) and EO 11988 (Floodplain Management) concluded that only Alternatives 3C and 4B are practicable and that Alternatives 2A and 2B are not practicable. As both EOs further require that if more than one of the practicable Build Alternatives would result in impacts to wetlands or floodplains, then federal agencies must select the least environmentally damaging of the available practicable alternatives. Accordingly, as compared to Alternative 4B, Alternative 3C is the least environmentally damaging practicable alternative.

The practicability of the alternatives must also be assessed as part of the process for issuing a permit under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The analysis of practicability under the criteria issued by the USEPA in its Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines (40 CFR Part 230) focuses individually on the factors of cost, logistics, and technology to determine practicability. Under Section 404 regulations, the USACE makes the determination of practicability when considering a permit application. However, a preliminary analysis of Section 404 practicability has been developed in coordination with the USACE and is included in FEIS Appendix G-1, which indicates that Alternatives 2A and 2B are not practicable and that Alternative 3C is practicable on the basis of project cost.

As discussed in FEIS Section 2.9, the FHWA recommends Alternative 3C; however, the No-Build Alternative remains under consideration within this FEIS. As discussed in FEIS Section 2.8, the FHWA's decision to recommend Alternative 3C for further evaluation is based on a unique set of factors that warrant favoring an alternative with significant and longitudinal encroachments of the
Dallas Floodway, even though general FHWA policy (i.e., 23 CFR Part 650) would not favor such an alternative. These factors relate to the risks of constructing a roadway longitudinally within a floodplain and community support for such roadway. First, the proposed project has been designed to avoid any substantial impacts to the ability of the Dallas Floodway to perform its fundamental mission of safely conveying floodwaters from extreme storm events past the Dallas CBD. Second, Alternative 3C is designed to protect the roadway from any substantial harm from floodwaters passing through the Dallas Floodway. Third, the concept of placing a longitudinal roadway in the Dallas Floodway has been a prominent aspect of City of Dallas planning for over four decades. Accordingly, subsequent to the environmental review of this FEIS and consideration of comments from all sources, the FHWA will select an alternative in the anticipated ROD.

S-6 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

FEIS Chapter 3 describes the existing human, natural, and physical environmental conditions of the Trinity Parkway project area. In general, this chapter describes the project area as it is, as well as how likely it is to be in the future under the No-Build Alternative, and forms the baseline standard against which potential impacts of the Build Alternative may be assessed.

FEIS Chapter 4 describes the anticipated impacts (beneficial and/or adverse) to existing social, economic, and environmental resources within the project area for the FHWA-recommended Build Alternative and the No-Build Alternative. Table S-1 summarizes information related to project design and the impact analyses discussed in FEIS Chapter 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison Factors</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Trinity Parkway Alternatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 No-Build</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway Characteristics and Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Length</td>
<td>Miles</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Estimated Right-of-Way</td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavation/Borrow Areas</td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW and Utility Relocation Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Cost (includes ITS cost)</td>
<td>2013 $ Millions</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost (sum of 3 cost items above)</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison Factors</td>
<td>Unit of Measure</td>
<td>Trinity Parkway Alternatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 No-Build</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Utilization</td>
<td>Average Daily Traffic (ADT)</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth to Hampton/Inwood</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampton/Inwood to Wycliff/Sylvan</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wycliff/Sylvan to Woodall Rodgers</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodall Rodgers to Houston/Jefferson</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston/Jefferson to Corinth</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corinth to MLK</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLK to IH-45</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH-45 to US-175</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measures of Effectiveness (Measured within the Trinity Parkway Project Area: Year 2035)¹</td>
<td>Vehicle Miles Traveled (M)</td>
<td>7,022,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily VMT²</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,075,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily VHT³</td>
<td>Vehicle Hours Traveled</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Speed⁴</td>
<td>mph</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Length⁵</td>
<td>Miles</td>
<td>846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion Delay⁶</td>
<td>Vehicle Hours</td>
<td>68,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Miles at LOS D, E or F⁷</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Impacts</td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Land Use Changed to ROW</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent with Local Plans and Policies (e.g., BVP)²</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Yes¹⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Relocations</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Displacements</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community/Public Facility Displacements⁹</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Parks/Recreation Areas¹⁰</td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>-222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Impacts</td>
<td>$ Millions</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Total Tax Value Lost from Land Conversion to ROW</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Annual Local Tax Revenue Lost from Land Conversion to ROW</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Number of Businesses Displaced</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Jobs Affected Due to Business Displacements</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Environment</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality Impacts</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-Year (Base) Floodplain Impacts</td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Condition Meets USACE Criteria for Valley Storage (100-Year and SPF)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# TABLE S-1. SUMMARY OF ATTRIBUTES AND IMPACTS OF ALTERNATIVES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison Factors</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Trinity Parkway Alternatives</th>
<th>3C Floodway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Condition Meets USACE Criteria Concerning Increase in Flood Elevation (100-Year and SPF)</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>No - 100-Year (max. rise of 0.27 feet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes SPF (max. rise of 0.00 feet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Condition Meets USACE Criteria Concerning Erosive Water Velocity</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Quality - Consistent with the conforming TIP/MTP</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected CO Concentrations below the NAAQS</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSAT – Expected change</td>
<td>Decrease/increase</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise Impacts</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Impacts</td>
<td>Low/Med/High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects of Hazardous Material Sites</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Natural Environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>1 No-Build</th>
<th>3C Floodway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Waters of the U.S., including Wetlands</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-65.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forested Wetlands</td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergent Wetlands</td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-50.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Open Water Features</td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riparian Forests</td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-49.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintained Grassland Areas</td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-491.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threatened/ Endangered Species Impacts</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Cultural Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Archeological Historic Properties</td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Archeological Historic Resources</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison Factors</td>
<td>Unit of Measure</td>
<td>Trinity Parkway Alternatives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 No-Build</td>
<td>3C Floodway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M = Millions; ADT = Average Daily Traffic; VMT = vehicle miles traveled; VHT = vehicle hours traveled; LOS = Level of Service; NRHP = National Register of Historic Places; EJ = Environmental Justice; SPF = Standard Project Flood; mph = miles per hour; -- = no impacts anticipated for this alternative.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. MOEs focus on the identified project needs and also provide a method to determine the degree that traffic conditions, such as congestion and mobility, could be improved by the Build Alternative.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Vehicle Miles of Travel (VMT) = the total number of miles driven by all vehicles in the project area on an average day.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Vehicle Hours of Travel (VHT) = the total time spent driving vehicles in the project area on an average day.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Average Speed (mph) = VMT divided by the VHT.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Lane Length (miles) = segment length multiplied by the number of lanes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Congestion Delay (Vehicle Hours) determines whether vehicles are experiencing delays on the roads and gauges the degree that congestion could be managed by the Build Alternative.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Percent Lane Miles at LOS D, E or F = percent of lane miles operating in congested conditions at LOS D, E or F.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. The “BVP” is the City of Dallas Balanced Vision Plan, a master plan for parks and lakes in the Trinity Floodway.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The number shown is the total number of buildings displaced at these types of facilities, not the number of facilities affected.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. ROW would be required from within the Trinity River Greenbelt Park, and access rights for construction, operation, and maintenance are anticipated to be established by an operating agreement with the City of Dallas. The deed records for the park land indicate that it can be used for transportation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. The number shown is the total number of NRHP-listed or eligible properties identified within the APE where there would be an adverse effect.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. The USEPA predicts substantial future MSAT reductions as the agency’s new light-duty and heavy-duty on-road fuel and vehicle rules come into effect (Tier II, light-duty vehicle standard, Heavy-Duty Diesel Vehicle (HDDV) standards and low sulfur diesel fuel, and the USEPA’s proposed Off-Road Diesel Engine and Fuel Standard). These projected air emission reductions will be realized even with the predicted continued growth in vehicle miles traveled.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Hazardous waste/material sites within or adjacent to proposed ROW.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. The figures for impacts to maintained grass areas for Alternative 3C includes estimated excavation areas of 271 acres.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Compatibility determined based on whether the alternative is conceptually consistent with the municipal planning document, and not by precise matching of alternative labels (i.e., alternative mentioned in the city plan or a successor or variant alternative).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Implementation of the No-Build Alternative would require an MTP revision and new conformity determination. In regards to the Build Alternative, the MTP includes a Trinity Parkway reliever route as a key element to the functioning of the plan. The proposed project design concept, scope, and project cost are consistent with the conforming MTP and 2013-2016 TIP.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Hydraulic modeling results reflect updated model existing conditions and output for Alternative 3C. Any flood estimates for Alternative 3C that do not meet the 1988 ROD criteria would require a variance before a permit under Section 404 or Section 10 could be issued.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. 559 acres for Alternative 3C reflects additional ROW needed for the transition with IH-35E and SH-183 that would apply for the Build Alternative at the northern terminus as discussed in FEIS Section 2.6.1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As discussed in FEIS Section 4.26.2, the significant and longitudinal floodplain encroachments of Trinity Parkway Build Alternatives located in the Dallas Floodway have been the subject of scrutiny since the outset of the project development and NEPA processes. The balancing of risks per FHWA floodplain policies in 23 CFR Part 650 requires the careful consideration of the following five risk factors: (1) the expected effects of the proposed facility on the functioning of the floodplain; (2) the likelihood of flooding; (3) the estimated time to make the road operational again if flooded; (4) damage expected to roadway and ancillary features of the roadway and measures incorporated to minimize or mitigate that damage; and (5) damage expected to occur to the roadway embankment and measures incorporated to minimize or mitigate that damage.

As encroachments are significant, engineering design and planning measures to ensure that floodway road alternatives remain hydraulically neutral have been at the forefront of the interagency coordination throughout the development of alternatives for the Trinity Parkway. The proposed project has been designed to avoid any substantial impacts to the ability of the Dallas Floodway to perform its fundamental mission of safely conveying floodwaters from extreme storm events past the Dallas CBD. The proposed Alternative 3C would be built upon embankments that would elevate the roadway above the 100-year floodplain or protected by a flood separation wall, so the roadway would not be inundated by a flooding event with a one percent risk of occurrence in any given year. In the event Alternative 3C were to be inundated by the rare SPF flood (i.e., the 2,500-year flood with probability of occurrence in any given year of 0.04 percent), it has been estimated that the road would be closed for approximately five days, including two days of inundation and three days of clean-up. Inundation by flood events exceeding the 100-year event in the Dallas Floodway could result in damage to roadway features, and depending on the extent of such damage, may require several days to complete the necessary repairs. Such repair would generally not prevent the roadway from reopening after a flood event because temporary measures (e.g., signage or portable barriers) would be deployed to restore the roadway to operation. Alternative 3C is designed to protect the roadway from any substantial harm from floodwaters passing through the Dallas Floodway.

The combination of the need for a reliever route to manage local traffic congestion, the absence of practicable alternatives outside the floodplain, and the general affirmation of longitudinal encroachment by elected leaders and the community in general are important considerations in FHWA’s recommendation of a floodway alternative. In addition, as summarized above, the various risks that attend the proposed construction of a roadway within the Dallas Floodway have been addressed through engineering design, impacts analysis, and interagency planning to an acceptable level.
In addition, FEIS Chapter 4 includes analyses of potential indirect and cumulative impacts, and a brief summary of the results from each analysis is provided below:

**Indirect Impacts:** Based on the indirect impact analysis, Alternative 3C would not challenge the land use change baseline determined for the area of influence (AOI) through the Land Use Sensitivity Assessment. The alternative would not induce land use change because no new access would be introduced. No planned projects associated with the Build Alternative have been identified, and induced development is not anticipated. Alternative 3C would complement existing public policy by providing congestion relief around downtown while allowing existing development trends to continue.

**Cumulative Impacts:** A brief summary of cumulative impacts (direct impacts + indirect impacts + impacts from reasonably foreseeable transportation and development projects) is provided in Table S-2 below. Implementation of regulatory control strategies and policies are assumed in relation to the proposed project and other reasonably foreseeable projects. Potential cumulative impacts to all resources/issues described below could be avoided or minimized by compliance with applicable local, state, and federal requirements.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison Factors</th>
<th>Impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community Impacts</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Land Use Changed to ROW</td>
<td>Net loss of approximately 1,552 acres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent with Local Plans and Policies (e.g., BVP)</td>
<td>The transportation plans would occur only with approval of municipal and/or federal and state transportation, and would be expected to conform to municipal planning documents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Relocations</td>
<td>Loss of 91 residences. A variety of institutional safeguards are in place to ensure that members of environmental justice populations who are displaced from their residences have access to affordable housing within or near the same community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Parks/Recreation Areas</td>
<td>Net loss of approximately 313 acres, but the vast majority of open space areas within the RSA are expected to be preserved in perpetuity because of municipal and federal regulations, plans, and policies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Environment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality Impacts</td>
<td>Future development would increase the amount of impervious surfaces in the corridor and would likely increase storm water runoff. The multiple federal, state, and local controls designed to minimize the impacts of development on water quality would ensure that potential impacts to water quality would be minimized to an acceptable level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain Impacts</td>
<td>The cumulative impacts of the Dallas Floodway’s ability to handle extreme storm water are expected to be insignificant for Alternative 3C in combination with other foreseeable projects; Alternative 3C has been designed to ensure the continued functioning of the Dallas Floodway for flood conveyance. Likewise, all other plans for the enhancement of natural resources within the Dallas Floodway include detailed design considerations that are expected to improve valley storage capacity, and otherwise enhance the Dallas Floodway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Quality</td>
<td>The proposed improvements are consistent with the MTP and the current TIP. Any increase in ozone precursor emissions are projected to be more than offset by emissions reductions from USEPA’s new fuel and vehicle standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Impacts</td>
<td>Alternative 3C would have a strong visual impact on the Dallas Floodway. However, other foreseeable projects (e.g., BVP and DFE Projects) would focus on enhancing the visual quality of natural resources in the Dallas Floodway. Consequently, such projects would serve to substantially offset the visual intrusion of Alternative 3C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Natural Environment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Waters of the U.S., including Wetlands</td>
<td>Net loss of approximately 88 acres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodlands</td>
<td>Net gain of approximately 1,006 acres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasslands</td>
<td>Net loss of approximately 1,833 acres. This loss is not likely result in an overall adverse impact to wildlife habitat because grassland areas are predominantly low quality habitat (i.e. mowed, non-native grasses). Also, much of these areas would be replaced by lakes, woodlands, forested wetlands, and emergent wetlands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cultural Resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Archeological Historic Resources</td>
<td>Cumulative impacts would potentially affect 14 resources. Existing regulatory controls and mitigation requirements are expected to ensure that potential impacts to listed infrastructure (the Dallas Floodway), buildings, bridges, or districts would be minimal. As a result, no substantial impacts would be anticipated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FEIS Chapter 5 describes mitigation measures and commitments associated with Build Alternative 3C. Examples include noise barriers and visual screens to minimize increased noise levels and visual intrusion affecting local residents; limiting construction activities to the minimum area needed, or avoiding areas during construction, to reduce impacts to vegetation; and employing erosion/sedimentation control techniques to avoid and/or minimize adverse impacts to wetlands and water bodies. Per 23 U.S.C. Section 139, the Build Alternative has been developed to a higher level of detail in order to facilitate the development of mitigation measures or concurrent compliance with other applicable laws. Final project design and mitigation measures would involve continued coordination between the FHWA/TxDOT/NTTA and other agencies.

FEIS Chapter 6 describes cost estimates for Build Alternative 3C. The potential sources of funding and cost sharing opportunities to construct the proposed project are discussed. Due to funding constraints and uncertainties associated with implementation of the project by TxDOT using gasoline tax revenue sources, the proposed action is being considered for implementation as a limited-access toll facility with the NTTA as the local sponsor. Subject to completion of NEPA and other agency considerations, implementation of the proposed action as a NTTA toll facility would involve the sale of toll-financed revenue bonds to private investors at competitive rates. Notwithstanding this approach, should other local, state, or federal funding become available at some future date, this funding may be used to support the proposed action.

S-7 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FEIS Chapter 8 describes the public involvement and agency coordination that occurred throughout the preparation of the DEIS, SDEIS, and LSS. The preparation of the DEIS, SDEIS, LSS, and this FEIS involved extensive coordination and consultation with the public that may be affected by the proposed project.

Initial public involvement opportunity occurred at the Public Scoping Meeting held on July 8, 1999. Residents, property owners, and elected officials were notified through direct mailings, legal advertisements, and paid advertisements in local newspapers. The purpose of the meeting was to initiate public involvement and identify the range of alternatives, environmental impacts, and important issues to be addressed in the EIS. The meeting included a technical presentation, exhibits, and handouts, after which the attendees were able to present comments concerning scoping issues to be addressed in the EIS. Six comments were received during the meeting and by direct mail, as well as 23 letters.
Meetings of the Community Advisory Work Group (CAWG) also facilitated public involvement. Eleven CAWG meetings were held during the period from 1999 to 2005. The CAWG was composed of members of the community who volunteered their time to stay involved in the project through regular meetings and other activities to provide input, ideas, and concerns to the project team.

Further coordination and consultation with the public included the release of Trinity Parkway DEIS, SDEIS, and LSS documents for public review. Prior to the DEIS, SDEIS, and LSS public hearings, notices were published through direct mailings, advertisements in local newspapers, on the NTTA’s website (www.ntta.org), and publicized by news releases distributed to area broadcast media.

The DEIS was released for public review in February 2005, and in March 2005, a public hearing for the Trinity Parkway DEIS was held. Attendance of 159 people was recorded for the public hearing; this number includes one elected official from the City of Dallas and 13 public officials. In addition, project team members representing the FHWA, TxDOT, and NTTA were available to explain the proposed project and answer questions. On April 8, 2005, the public comment period for the DEIS concluded. A total of 91 comments were received at the DEIS public hearing on March 29, 2005, or within the comment period (see FEIS Appendix K).

The SDEIS was released for public review in February 2009. In May 2009, a public hearing for the Trinity Parkway SDEIS was held. Attendance of 405 people was recorded for the public hearing; this number includes six elected officials, and 10 media representatives. In addition, project team members representing the FHWA, TxDOT, and NTTA were available to explain the proposed project and answer questions. The extended comment period began in May and continued through June 30, 2009. A total of 347 comments were received at the SDEIS public hearing on May 5, 2009, or within the comment period. Statements, comments and responses associated with the SDEIS public hearing can be found in FEIS Appendix L.

The LSS to the SDEIS was released for public review in March 2012. In May 2012, a public hearing for the Trinity Parkway LSS was held. Attendance of 288 people was recorded for the public hearing; this number includes 10 elected officials and 12 media representatives. In addition, project team members representing the FHWA, TxDOT, and NTTA were available to explain the proposed project and answer questions. The public comment period for the LSS ended on May 18, 2012. A total of 205 comments were received at the LSS public hearing on May 8, 2012, or within the comment period. Statements, comments and responses associated with the LSS public hearing can be found in FEIS Appendix M.
In addition to the Public Scoping Meeting, CAWG meetings, DEIS, SDEIS, and LSS public hearings, numerous agency coordination meetings and briefings were held during the period from 1999 to 2008. FEIS Appendix A-3 summarizes these public participation and agency events.
DATE 11 April 2014

to The Honorable Members of the Transportation and Trinity River Project Committee:
Voncie Jones Hill (Chair), Lee Kleinman (Vice Chair), Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Monica Alonzo,
Mayor Pro Tem Tennell Atkins, Sandy Greyson, and Sheffie Kadane

SUBJECT Streetcar Production-Final Phase

On Monday, 14 April 2014, the Transportation and Trinity River Project Committee will be briefed on the
Streetcar Production-Final Phase. The material is attached for your review.

Please contact me if you have questions.

Jill A. Jordan, P.E.
Assistant City Manager

Attachment

C: A.C. Gonzalez, City Manager
   Warren M.S. Ernst, City Attorney
   Craig D. Kinton, City Auditor
   Rosa A. Rios, City Secretary
   Daniel F. Solis, Administrative Judge
   Ryan S. Evans, (l) First Assistant City Manager
   Forest E. Turner, Assistant City Manager

Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager
Charles M. Cato, (l) Assistant City Manager
Theresa O'Donnell, (l) Assistant City Manager
Jeanne Chippefield, Chief Financial Officer
Shawn Williams, (l) Public Information Officer
Elsa Cantu, Assistant to the City Manager – Mayor & Council

“Dallas-Together, we do it better”
Streetcar Production – Final Phase

Transportation and Trinity River Project Committee
14 April 2014
Streetcar Vehicle Specifications

• Base Project – two Streetcar vehicles from Brookville Equipment Corporation
• Option up to two additional vehicles
• Double-articulated with low floor center section
• Dual mode – capable of both overhead contact system operation and off-wire operation
• 30 year service life
• FTA Compliant
  • Buy-America
  • ADA
• Compatible with DART Light Rail System Requirements
Vehicle Color

Color based upon:

- Future maintenance cost was a major consideration
- Color is compatible with DART Light Rail Transit (LRT) vehicles
- The seat fabric is the same as the LRT vehicle seat fabric
- Interior materials ensure ease of maintenance and efficiency
- The Dallas Streetcar System will have specific branding that will distinguish it from the existing transit fleet while maintaining compatibility
Vehicle Components

The Vehicles will be provided with the following equipment:

- **Closed Circuit Television** installed
- **Automatic Passenger Counters** installed
- **Fare Collection Equipment** (made ready to accept for future installation)
- **Load Leveling System** for 14 inch platform height installed
- **Automatic Train Protection** (Vehicles made ready to accept for future installation)
- **Communications / Radio Equipment** installed (Consistent with DART requirements)
- **Train Stop System** installed
- **Train to Wayside Communications** installed (consistent with DART requirements)
- **Off-Wire Energy Storage System (OESS)** installed
- **Spare Parts**
- **Special Tools**
- **Necessary Test Equipment and Software**
Streetcar (side view)
Streetcar (front view)
KEY FOCUS AREA: Economic Vibrancy

AGENDA DATE: April 23, 2014

COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): All

DEPARTMENT: Trinity Watershed Management

CMO: Jill A. Jordan, P.E., 670-5299

MAPSCO: N/A

SUBJECT

Authorize (1) acceptance of a donation of $12,615 from the Trinity Trust Foundation for the purpose of hiring an intern for the Southwest Airlines Conservation Corps program; and (2) the establishment of appropriations in the amount of $12,615 - Not to exceed $12,615 - Financing: Trinity Trust Foundation Fund - SW Airline Conservation Corps

BACKGROUND

The Trinity Trust Foundation and Southwest Airlines have been working with the City on the Southwest Airlines Trinity Conservation Corps program. This is a three year program that began in 2013 to provide detailed cleaning, native species planting and land restoration work for the Trinity River Corridor with the participants being Southwest Airlines employees and other corporate and public sector volunteers. The intern will support the City and the programming associated with the Trinity Conservation Corps with their annual program which is managed by the Trinity Watershed Management.

PRIOR ACTION/REVIEW (COUNCIL, BOARDS, COMMISSIONS)

This item has no prior action.

FISCAL INFORMATION

Trinity Trust Foundation Fund - SW Airline Conservation Corps - $12,615
WHEREAS, the amount of $12,615.00 is donated and has been received from the Trinity Trust Foundation; and

WHEREAS, the funds from this donation will be used to fund an intern for the Southwest Airlines Trinity Conservation Corps program administered by Trinity Watershed Management.

Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF DALLAS:

Section 1. That the City Manager is hereby authorized to accept the donation of $12,615.00, from the Trinity Trust Foundation to fund an intern for the Southwest Airlines Conservation Corps program.

Section 2. That the City Controller is hereby authorized to receive and deposit the donation to the City of Dallas in Fund DL03, Department TWM, Unit 5802, Revenue Source 8411, Act. TTFF.

Section 3. That the City Manager is hereby authorized to establish appropriations in an amount not to exceed $12,615.00, in Fund DL03, Department TWM, Unit 5802, Object 1510.

Section 4. That the City Controller is hereby authorized to disburse funds in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract from:

Trinity Trust Foundation Fund - SW Airlines Conservation Corps Fund
Fund DL03, Dept. TWM, Unit 5802, Act. TTFF, Object 1510
In an amount not to exceed $12,615.00

Section 5. That this resolution shall take effect immediately from and after its passage in accordance with provisions of the Charter of the City of Dallas, and it is accordingly so resolved.
KEY FOCUS AREA: Economic Vibrancy

AGENDA DATE: April 23, 2014

COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): 2, 6

DEPARTMENT: Trinity Watershed Management

CMO: Jill A. Jordan, P.E., 670-5299

MAPSCO: 44 F

SUBJECT

Authorize (1) an increase in the construction contract with AUI Contractors, LLC for construction of the Levee Drainage System – Hampton-Oak Lawn sump, also referred to as the Baker No. 3 Pump Station; and (2) extend the contract by an additional ninety-days - Not to exceed $4,616,624, from $37,961,493 to $42,578,117 - Financing: General Obligation Commercial Paper Funds

BACKGROUND

The 2006 Bond Program included $334 million dollars for storm drainage and flood management projects. This included $48.1 million dollars for Levee Drainage System – Hampton-Oak Lawn sump, also referred to as the Baker No. 3 Pump Station. The Baker No. 3 Pump Station project is located at 2331 Irving Boulevard, has a total pumping capacity of 700,000 gallons per minute and provides 100–year flood protection to a large industrial and commercial area in Dallas that has flooded several times in recent years, reducing the flood elevation from 403.7 to 402.5 feet.

In September 2010, at the time the project was scheduled for advertisement, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) added new requirements to the sump design. Due to budget timing constraints and the need for a timely completion of the project, the City proceeded with the construction of the pump station with 50% sump design plans. It was planned that a change order was to be issued once the sump design was completed and met the new Corps’ requirement. Funding for the anticipated additional work was set aside and budgeted for future award.

Baker No. 3 Pump Station was advertised and bid with 50% design plans for the Baker sump improvements. AUI Contractors, LLC was notified on June 27, 2012 of award of the contract in the amount of $37,869,184.00 with a construction period of 900 calendar days to rehabilitate the Baker No. 2 and construct the new Baker No. 3 Pump Station.
BACKGROUND (Continued)

The sump design was completed and approved by the USACE in December 2013. Negotiations with the contractor to construct the sump improvements began. In addition to the sump improvements, this change order also includes modifications to structural, electrical, instrumentation control, piping and roofing that are necessary to complete construction. Total cost of this change order is $4,616,623.20 and a time extension of 90 calendar days. It is anticipated that the project will be complete in March, 2015.

This action will authorize Change Order No. 2 to the contract with AUI Contractors, LLC for the construction of the Levee Drainage System – Hampton-Oak Lawn sump, also referred to as the Baker No. 3 Pump Station.

ESTIMATED SCHEDULE OF PROJECT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Began Design</td>
<td>June 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed Design</td>
<td>February 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Began Construction</td>
<td>September 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Construction</td>
<td>March 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRIOR ACTION/REVIEW (COUNCIL, BOARDS, COMMISSIONS)

Authorized Award of Contract to AUI Contractors, LLC in the amount of $37,869,184.00 on June 27, 2012, by Resolution No. 12-1731.

Authorized approval of Change Order No. 1 to the contract with AUI Contractors, LLC in the amount of $92,309.00 on August 14, 2013, by Resolution No. 13-1329.

FISCAL INFORMATION

2006 Bond Program (General Obligation Commercial Paper Funds) - $4,616,623.20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original Contract</td>
<td>$37,869,184.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Order No. 1</td>
<td>$92,309.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Order No. 2 (this action)</td>
<td>$4,616,623.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council District</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2,308,311.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$2,308,311.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$4,616,623.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MWBE INFORMATION

See attached.

ETHNIC COMPOSITION

AUI Contractors, LLC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OWNER

AUI Contractors, LLC

Doug Alumbaugh, President

MAP

Attached
BUSINESS INCLUSION AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN SUMMARY

PROJECT: Authorize (1) an increase in the construction contract with AUI Contractors, LLC for construction of the Levee Drainage System – Hampton-Oak Lawn sump, also referred to as the Baker No. 3 Pump Station; and (2) extend the contract by an additional ninety-days - Not to exceed $4,616,624, from $37,961,493 to $42,578,117 - Financing: General Obligation Commercial Paper Funds

AUI Contractors, LLC is a non-local, non-minority firm, has signed the "Business Inclusion & Development" documentation, and proposes to use the following sub-contractors.

PROJECT CATEGORY: Construction

LOCAL/NON-LOCAL CONTRACT SUMMARY - THIS ACTION ONLY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local contracts</td>
<td>$508,005.97</td>
<td>11.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-local contracts</td>
<td>$4,108,617.23</td>
<td>89.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL THIS ACTION</td>
<td>$4,616,623.20</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LOCAL/NON-LOCAL M/WBE PARTICIPATION THIS ACTION

Local Contractors / Sub-Contractors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Certification</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shankle Concrete &amp; Construction Co.</td>
<td>BMDB57171Y0414</td>
<td>$133,370.97</td>
<td>26.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soto's Steel, Inc.</td>
<td>HMMB10156N1214</td>
<td>$1,320.00</td>
<td>0.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ram Tool &amp; Supply Company, Inc.</td>
<td>WFWB57275N0414</td>
<td>$370,000.00</td>
<td>72.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Minority - Local</td>
<td></td>
<td>$504,690.97</td>
<td>99.35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-Local Contractors / Sub-Contractors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-local</th>
<th>Certification</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>So Texas Pipe and Supply</td>
<td>WFWB58385N0814</td>
<td>$327,427.00</td>
<td>7.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHEA Services, Inc.</td>
<td>WFDB58250Y0714</td>
<td>$293,000.00</td>
<td>7.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRJ Paving Inc.</td>
<td>WFDB57074Y0414</td>
<td>$240,000.00</td>
<td>5.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Minority - Non-local</td>
<td></td>
<td>$860,427.00</td>
<td>20.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This Action</td>
<td>Participation to Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>$133,370.97</td>
<td>2.89%</td>
<td>$2,701,913.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic American</td>
<td>$1,320.00</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
<td>$1,272,297.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian American</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBE</td>
<td>$1,230,427.00</td>
<td>26.65%</td>
<td>$7,042,470.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,365,117.97</td>
<td>29.57%</td>
<td>$11,016,681.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
April 23, 2014

WHEREAS, on June 13, 2007, Resolution No. 07-1833 authorized (1) a professional services contract with HNTB Corporation for program management of major flood management and storm drainage projects included in the 2006 Bond Program in the amount of $8,423,300.00, and (2) a professional services contract with Carter and Burgess, Inc. for the design of the Levee Drainage System at Hampton-Oak Lawn Sump and Pavaho Sump in the amount of $7,621,000.00; and,

WHEREAS, on October 28, 2009, Resolution No. 09-2652 authorized Supplemental Agreement No. 1 to the professional services contract with Carter & Burgess, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc., for additional design services on the Levee Drainage System-Hampton Oak Lawn and the Levee Drainage System-Pavaho Pump Station in the amount of $530,440.00, increasing the contract amount from $7,621,000.00 to $8,151,440.00; and,

WHEREAS, on June 23, 2010, Resolution No. 10-1707 authorized Supplemental Agreement No. 2 to the professional services contract with Carter & Burgess, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc., for construction management services and for design support during construction in the amount of $3,727,000.00, from $8,151,440.00 to $11,878,440.00; and,

WHEREAS, on February 23, 2011, Resolution No. 11-0597 authorized Supplemental Agreement No. 3 to the professional services contract with Carter & Burgess, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc., for additional design services to address Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers requirements in the amount of $2,145,000.00, from $11,878,440.00 to $14,023,440.00; and,

WHEREAS, bids were received on May 10, 2012, for the construction of the Baker No. 3 Pump Station; and,

WHEREAS, on June 27, 2012, Resolution No. 12-1731 awarded the contract for construction of Baker No. 3 and rehabilitation of Baker No. 2 to AUI Contractors, LLC in the amount of $37,869,184.00; and,

WHEREAS, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers will recognize this contract with AUI Contractors, LLC as a portion of the City of Dallas' in-kind credit for the 35% cost sharing of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007, Section 5141 for the Dallas Floodway Project that may also reduce future City expenses for the Corps' construction project; and,

WHEREAS, on August 14, 2013, Resolution No. 13-1329 authorized approval of Change Order No. 1 to the contract with AUI Contractors, LLC in the amount of $92,309.00; and,
WHEREAS, it is now necessary to authorize Change Order No. 2 for construction of the Levee Drainage System - Hampton Oak Lawn sump, also referred to as the Baker No. 3 Pump Station.

Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF DALLAS:

Section 1. That the City Manager is hereby authorized to increase the contract with AUI Contractors, LLC for construction of the Levee Drainage System – Hampton-Oak Lawn sump in an amount not to exceed $4,616,623.20, from $37,961,493.00 to $42,578,116.20, after it has been approved as to form by the City Attorney, and to extend the contract for an additional ninety-days.

Section 2. That the City Controller is hereby authorized to disburse funds in accordance with the terms and conditions of the agreement from:

Flood Protection and Storm Drainage Facilities Fund  
Fund 2T23, Department TWM, Unit T509, Act. FLDM  
Obj. 4540, Program # PB06T509, CT PBW06T509J1  
Vendor #259651, in an amount not to exceed $4,616,623.20

Section 3. That this resolution shall take effect immediately from and after its passage in accordance with the provisions of the Charter of the City of Dallas, and it is accordingly so resolved.
Memorandum

DATE  11 April 2014

TO  Honorable Members of the Transportation and Trinity River Project Committee: Voncie Jones Hill (Chair), Lee M. Kleinman (Vice Chair), Monica R. Alonzo, Tennell Atkins, Sheffie Kadane, Sandy Greyson

SUBJECT  Upcoming Agenda Items-Street Improvement and Preventive Maintenance

This memo is to provide information on two upcoming agenda items regarding street improvements and preventive maintenance. The items are scheduled for the April 23, 2014 agenda.

Street Resurfacing and Street Improvements for 2014 provides asphalt resurfacing for 48 street segments city-wide totaling approximately 60 lane miles. The amount of the contract is $14,648,832.

Micro-Surfacing and Slurry Seal for Street Services provides micro-surfacing preventative maintenance treatment for 82 street segments city-wide totaling approximately 100 lane miles and provides slurry seal preventive maintenance treatment for 468 street segments city-wide totaling 235 lane miles. The amount of the contract is $4,118,575.

Agenda information sheets are attached.

Please let me know if you have any questions or need additional information.

Jill A. Jordan, P.E.
Assistant City Manager

Attachments

C:  A.C. Gonzalez, City Manager
    Warren M.S. Ermet, City Attorney
    Craig D. Kinton, City Auditor
    Rosa A. Rios, City Secretary
    Daniel F. Solis, Administrative Judge
    Ryan S. Evans, (I) First Assistant City Manager
    Forest E. Turner, Assistant City Manager
    Joey Zapata, Assistant City Manager
    Charles M. Calo, (I) Assistant City Manager
    Theresa O'Donnell, (I) Assistant City Manager
    Jeanne Chipperfield, Chief Financial Officer
    Shawn Williams, (I) Public Information Officer
    Elsa Cantu, Assistant to the City Manager – Mayor & Council

“Dallas, The City That Works: Diverse, Vibrant and Progressive.”
KEY FOCUS AREA: Economic Vibrancy

AGENDA DATE: April 23, 2014

COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14

DEPARTMENT: Public Works Department Water Utilities

CMO: Jill A. Jordan, P.E., 670-5299
Forest E. Turner, 670-3390

25L 27M 27R 34X 38S 38T 39E 39F 39J 39K 45D 45L 45P
47D 48X 48Y 53G 54D 54E 54F 54H 54K 54N 54S 54V 55V
55Z 56W 56X 58B 58C 58X 63Y 64J 64N 66A 66B 66Q

SUBJECT

Street Resurfacing and Street Improvements for 2014

* Authorize a contract with NPL Construction Company, Inc., lowest responsible bidder of four, in the amount of $14,648,832 for the construction of pavement surface improvements for Street Resurfacing and Street Improvements for 2014 (list attached) - Not to exceed $14,648,832 - Financing: 2012 Bond Funds ($14,555,136) and Water Utilities Capital Construction Funds ($93,696)

* Authorize a professional services contract with Kleinfelder Central, Inc., to provide construction material testing during the construction of the Street Resurfacing and Street Improvements for 2014 (list attached) - Not to exceed $187,139 - Financing: General Obligation Commercial Paper Funds

BACKGROUND

Street resurfacing and other related street improvements will be performed on streets specifically identified within the 2012 Capital Improvements Bond Program. Improvements consist of repairs to the pavement, pavement markings and barrier-free ramps. Limited curb and gutters, sidewalks and drive approaches will be replaced as required. This action will authorize a contract for the construction of pavement surface improvements for Street Resurfacing and Street Improvements for 2014.
BACKGROUND (Continued)

This contract will provide resurfacing for 48 street segments for a total of 59.79 lane miles currently in unsatisfactory condition. Pavement markings will be placed as needed and utility manholes will be adjusted level with the road surfaces as required. Water valve stacks and wastewater manholes, and other related items will be adjusted in conjunction with the street improvements.

Material testing services will be performed during the construction of the Street Resurfacing and Street Improvements for 2014. These testing services are required to assure that the material utilized during construction is in conformance with the quality required by the project specifications. This action will authorize a professional services contract for the material testing services for pavement surface improvements of the Street Resurfacing and Street Improvements for 2014.

Kleinfielder Central, Inc. has successfully performed numerous projects within several previous Master Agreement Contracts for construction material testing services and geo-technical investigations within the City of Dallas for several City Departments.

The following chart shows NPL Construction Company, Inc. completed contractual activities for the past three years:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PBW</th>
<th>WTR</th>
<th>PKR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Projects Completed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Orders</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projects Requiring Liquidated Damages</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projects Completed by Bonding Company</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ESTIMATED SCHEDULE OF PROJECT

Begin Construction May 2014
Complete Construction December 2014

PRIOR ACTION/REVIEW (COUNCIL, BOARDS, COMMISSIONS)

This item has no prior action.
# FISCAL INFORMATION

Resolution 1 - NPL Construction Company, Inc.

2012 Bond Funds - $14,555,135.25  
Water Utilities Capital Construction Funds - $93,696.25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council District</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,554,711.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$ 998,641.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$ 845,961.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$2,223,215.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$ 947,444.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$ 482,340.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$ 573,080.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$ 589,370.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$1,805,344.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$ 757,060.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$ 614,867.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$ 167,481.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>$2,350,499.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>$ 738,812.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: $14,648,831.50
FISCAL INFORMATION (Continued)

Resolution 2 - Kleinfelder Central, Inc.

2006 Bond Program (General Obligation Commercial Paper) - $187,138.75

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council District</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$19,861.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$12,757.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$10,807.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$28,401.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$12,103.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$6,161.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$7,321.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$7,529.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$23,063.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$9,671.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$7,854.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$2,139.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>$30,027.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>$9,438.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total $187,138.75

M/WBE INFORMATION

See attached.

ETHNIC COMPOSITION

NPL Construction Company, Inc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity Female</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Ethnicity Male</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African-American Female</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>African-American Male</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic Female</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hispanic Male</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Female</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>White Male</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Female</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Other Male</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ETHNIC COMPOSITION (Continued)

Kleinfelder Central, Inc.

African-American Female 6  African-American Male 39
Hispanic Female 6  Hispanic Male 195
White Female 37  White Male 147
Other Female 1  Other Male 5

BID INFORMATION

The following bids were received and opened on February 13, 2014:

*Denotes successful bidder

BIDDERS  BID AMOUNT

*NPL Construction Company, Inc.  $14,648,831.50
  2820 Market Street
  Garland, Texas 75041
Texas Sterling Construction Company  $15,104,955.00
Phillips May Corporation  $15,773,333.00
Omega Contracting, Inc.  $16,135,489.00

OWNER

NPL Construction Company, Inc.

James P. Kane, President

Kleinfelder Central, Inc.

Aaron Cotton, Jr., Senior Project Manager

MAPS

Attached.
KEY FOCUS AREA: Efficient, Effective and Economical Government

AGENDA DATE: April 23, 2014

COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): All

DEPARTMENT: Business Development & Procurement Services
Street Services

CMO: Jeanne Chipperfield, 670-7804
      Forest E. Turner, 670-3390

MAPSCO: N/A

SUBJECT

Authorize a one-year construction services contract to provide micro-surfacing and slurry seal for Street Services - Intermountain Slurry Seal, Inc., lowest responsible bidder of four - Not to exceed $4,118,575 - Financing: Current Funds (subject to appropriations)

BACKGROUND

This action does not encumber funds; the purpose of a service contract is to establish firm pricing for services, for a specific term, which are ordered on an as needed basis.

This construction service contract will provide micro-surfacing and slurry seal used by Street Services to complete planned work in 2014. Micro-surfacing and slurry seal are preventative maintenance treatments designed to seal surface cracks. Failure to seal surface cracks allows water to penetrate street surfaces causing street deterioration.

Micro-surfacing consists of a 1/4 inch thick mixture of asphalt and crushed stone typically applied to higher traffic volume, nonresidential streets. Traffic can usually be restored to the street approximately 30 minutes after application. Street Services estimates 100 lane miles will be treated during the one-year service contract.

Slurry seal consists of a 1/4 inch thick mixture of asphalt, sand, and finely crushed stone applied to the street surface in a single layer, typically applied to residential streets. Traffic can usually be restored to the street approximately 1 to 2 hours after application. Street Services estimates 235 lane miles will be treated during the one-year service contract.
BACKGROUND (Continued)

This solicitation was structured in a manner which required bidders to submit a response using unit pricing. This bid resulted in a 12.93% decrease over comparable prices for the bids awarded in 2013.

As part of the solicitation process and in an effort to increase competition, Business Development and Procurement Services used its procurement system to send out 670 email bid notifications to vendors registered under respective commodities. To further increase competition, Business Development and Procurement Services uses historical solicitation information, the internet, and vendor contact information obtained from user departments to contact additional vendors by phone. Additionally, in an effort to secure more bids, notifications were sent by the Business Development and Procurement Services’ ResourceLink Team (RLT) to 25 chambers of commerce, the DFW Minority Business Council and the Women’s Business Council – Southwest, to ensure maximum vendor outreach.

PRIOR ACTION/REVIEW (COUNCIL, BOARDS, COMMISSIONS)

On March 9, 2011, City Council authorized a one-year service contract to provide micro-surfacing and slurry seal by Resolution No. 11-0627.

On April 11, 2012, City Council authorized a one-year service contract to provide micro-surfacing and slurry seal by Resolution No. 12-1018.

On April 10, 2013, City Council authorized a one-year service contract to provide micro-surfacing and slurry seal by Resolution No. 13-0605.

FISCAL INFORMATION

$4,118,575.00 - Current Funds (subject to appropriations)

M/WBE INFORMATION

137 - Vendors contacted
137 - No response
  0 - Response (Bid)
  0 - Response (No bid)
  0 - Successful

670 - M/WBE and Non-M/WBE vendors were contacted

The recommended awardee has fulfilled the requirements set forth in the Business Inclusion and Development (BID) Plan adopted by Council Resolution No. 08-2826 as amended.
ETHNIC COMPOSITION

Intermountain Slurry Seal, Inc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Male</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Male</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic Male</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Male</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BID INFORMATION

The following bids were received from solicitation number BK1420 and were opened on February 27, 2014. This construction services contract is being awarded in its entirety to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder.

*Denotes successful bidder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bidders</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Amount of Bid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*Intermountain Slurry Seal, Inc.</td>
<td>520 North 400 West North Salt Lake City, UT 84054</td>
<td>$4,118,575.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viking Construction, Inc.</td>
<td>2592 Shell Road Georgetown, TX 78928</td>
<td>$4,459,150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NyMac Enterprises, Inc.</td>
<td>3415 South Creyts Road Lansing, MI 48917</td>
<td>$4,540,980.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Pavement Preservation, LLC</td>
<td>4725 East Cartier Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89115</td>
<td>$6,598,025.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OWNER

Intermountain Slurry Seal, Inc.

Jason Todd Klaumann, President
Kathleen Schreckengost, Vice President